Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/074,985	KARLSSON, GOTE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ruth C Rodriguez	3677
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Ruth C Rodriguez, PTO.	(3)	
(2) Ronald M. Kachmarik, applicant's representative.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 April 2005	Time: <u>2:00</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102(b)		
Claims discussed: 17		
Prior art documents discussed: Baker and Kojima		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summater</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a write record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	nt/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Kachmarik to propose an Examiner's Amendment for claim 17. The amendment was needed to better define that the lower part comprises the semicylindrical groove, an axle and a pair of arms, that the pair of arms attachs the lower part to the axle, that the holder receives the axle so that a pivoting axis that pases through the handle is paralle to a second axis defined by the holder. Mr. Kachmarik reviewed the case and agreed to the changes. Both parties agreed to make these changes through an Examiner's Amendment in order to expedite the allowance of the application.